Policy Advisory Committee

20 June 2017 Meeting

UPDATES FROM THE CLAIM PROPOSAL WORKING GROUP

Some issues identified so far...

- > Cybersquatting concerns:- the BertieAhern.ie issue......(someone has registered "MY name")
- > Potential for defamation/slander within the domain name:- eg "xxxxx-sucks.ie"
- > Personal names:- concern that a private citizen could register another person's name and be abusive
- > Request for a long bedding-in period to allow for awareness / promotion and marketing....
- > Small businesses, arriving too late:- "somebody else has registered "my" name. How could you not reserve it, just for me?"
- > Request to ensure the widest possible inclusion for the Public Consultation phase
- > Request to ensure that *existing* registrants know about the changes
- > Warning to be careful about linking the aftermarket to the policy liberalisation (risk of encouraging cybersquatters)
- > Promotion and marketing message should be positive, and avoid scaremongering

Some issues identified so far...

Based on the issues identified so far, there are 4 workstreams:-

- 1. Implications of removing the 'Claim' (dispute resolution, mediation, editing the PPPRG)
- 2. Communications, promotion and marketing (phases, IEDR's PSO, roles of PAC members & channel)
- Aftermath of removal:-
 - ➤ Making the "Connection" easier & faster / refining the Guidelines in PPPRG)
- Aftermath of removal:-
 - > Fast-Pass for returning customers

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Process

Appeals-lite process

Considerations included:-

- ➤ Impact assessment of Claim removal
- Is there a need for an ADR Process?
- ➤ How should it work, the role of the Channel and what scenarios should it apply to?
 - IP infringement 'Faster, cheaper WIPO',
 - 'Use' abuse (illegal activity, slander, impersonation and defamation),
 - Problems during registration
 - Technical abuse issues...
- Mediation Service for ADR
 - Who/ what is the appropriate person(s), panel, body?

Updates:-

- Discussions are ongoing
- > Broad consensus among Registrars that an ADR should:
 - be introduced to the IE namespace
 - handling complaints regarding website content is notoriously challenging (in particular defamation & slander)
- Proposal to consider ADR as a separate policy change
- > Feedback from other WG members o/s
- Plan to request feedback from Law Society also

Communications & Awareness building

Marketing & promotion

Considerations included:-

- ➤ Notifications to existing registrants
 - If IEDR is under obligation to notify registrants of the proposed changes (before implementation, if approved)
 - If such notifications would be classified as a public service announcement / marketing comm.
 - If Registrars could opt out of having their clients receive such notifications (to avoid confusing their clients)
 - If Registrars opt-out, if IEDR could require accredited .ie Registrar to handle notifications

Updates:-

- Discussion ongoing
- ➤ IEDR could create white label content for Registrars to use for notifications
- Agreed to consider the communications over three distinct phases
 - Phase 1 Awareness building around public consultation, including '1-to-1' with relevant bodies e.g. DPA and CCPC
 - Phase 2 existing registrants and current customers (last chance to ring-fence your name)
 - Phase 3 countdown stage, shortly before implementation (if approved).
 Public service type comms - especially by IEDR.

'Connection' to Ireland

Guidelines for showing evidence

Considerations included:-

- Objective is to simplify new registrations
- ➤ Need for deterministic registration guidelines
 - Remove any confusion around what is sufficient to show connection
- Need to identify 'one-item' proofs that show connection
 - 'Give us 1 piece of evidence to register a domain'
- > Catch-all clause
 - How to ensure we don't clog-up the PPPRG with every corner-case

Updates:-

- Suggested edits to the 'Guidelines' of the Registration and Naming Policy were drafted and circulated to the WG
- Word-crafting and discussion are on-going
- > Further consideration required, particularly for:-
 - Organisations (e.g. clubs, bands etc.)

How should these bodies show their connection?

E.g. VAT number, RBN number, register to individual, use social media links?

Utility bills

Do these provide suitable evidence of a connection and proof of identity?

Fast-Pass Registration Process Proposal

For returning customers – post implementation

Considerations included:-

- ➤ Process proposal Applicable to existing registrants wanting to register more domains
 - ➤ They will have already shown their 'connection'
- Process would be optional for Registrars to use for their clients
 - ➤ Opt-in model
- ➤ Should a registrant need to 're-prove their connection' after a defined time period has elapsed since the original registration?
- ➤ How to handle applications from dissolved companies?

Updates:-

- Discussion ongoing
- > Broad consensus for the process (and opt-in model)
- > Discussion has focused on technical considerations:
 - How to 'flag' applications as fast-pass
 - Need to update Registrar front-end and back-end systems
 - Need to update IEDR systems to accept a 'flag' (www.iedr.ie, API and Console)
 - Fast-pass registrations potential to add to the IE zone without manual approval from IEDR staff

Some resources drafted...

- ➤ Information Bulletin draft prepared
- > FAQ draft prepared
- > new Guideline on evidence of "Connection"

Potential Timetable...

Potential Implementation Timetables - Claim Removal

Registrar system Designing Alternative Finalise system design & testing **Public** Review PC change required notice Marketing & Kev = Dispute Resolution Process Awareness Building (API and front-end systems - 15 Go-Live Consultation I (PC) Feedback period (API and front-end Promotion (Appeals) days) systems - 90 days)

Scenario I (No Public	June & July	September	September (30 days)	Mid-Oct (15 days)	Mid-Oct	1 Nov to 31 Jan	4 Fab 2049
consultation during the Summer)					Mid-Oct to 31 Jan		1 Feb 2018

Critical Path items:-

- > Public Consultation (earliest start date is 1st September)
- Finalise API changes (if any), then give 90 days notice
- Finalise modus operandi and T&Cs of ADR (prior to Public Consultation)

Next Steps...

- ➤ Working Group complete the discussions on the four work streams
- > Public Consultation prepare and issue consultation doc, with infographic / visuals etc.
- > Awareness, promotion and marketing Design the marketing content for the 3 phases
- Conclude on API changes (if any) and give 90-days notice
- Working Group to report back at next PAC meeting